

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,873	10/12/2001	Jon Buzzard	CRD0959	9691
27777 7:	590 10/20/2003		EXAM	ER
PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON			NGUYEN, VI X	
	N & JOHNSON PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			3731	11
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003	3 1.1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 4		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/975,873	BUZZARD ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Victor X Nguyen	3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. THE (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	August 2003 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the merits is , 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
,	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
• •	on Papers					
,	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be need in abeyance. See 37 CFX 1.03(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
,,,,,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	ation No			
* 5	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) 🗆 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
) The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachmen	-					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/975,873

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9-11are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The limitation "a limit element" is not defined in the specification and the drawing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Gunderson (U.S.5,776,142).

Figure 1, Abstract and col. 2, lines 23-37 of Gunderson shows a medical device delivery system having all the limitation as recited in the above listed claims 1 and 8, including: an inner shaft (40); an outer sheath (50); a medical device (labeled in col. 4, lines 29-35) is within the outer sheath (50), wherein a handle (20, 30) is coupled with the inner shaft (40) and the outer sheath (50); and wherein the handle has a first and second independently moveable actuator (24,

Application/Control Number: 09/975,873

Art Unit: 3731

34, col. 4, lines 52-67 and col. 5, lines 1-16) for adjusting the longitudinal positions of the inner shaft and the outer sheath. Furthermore, the first and the second actuators (24, 34) provide a different amount of mechanical advantage (col. 8, lines 36-60).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, wherein one of the actuator provides a mechanical advantage of 1:1 (labeled as the pitch of the threads 28 may match the pitch of the coils in the stent, in col. 8, lines 37-44); and wherein the first actuator (labeled as rotation of the handle 20 in col. 4, lines 57-61) is rotated around a threaded base (32).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, wherein the second actuator (24) slides along a slot (fig. 2, item 25); and wherein the first and second actuators are formed as a lever (fig. 1).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, wherein the first actuator provides a mechanical advantage greater than 1:1 (col. 8, lines 45-60); and wherein the handle (20, 30) and the first and the second actuators (24, 34) can operate by hand.

Regarding claims 9-10, applicants' "a limit element" is not understood (see 112- first rejection). As best understood, Gunderson (fig. 1, item 56) is considered a limit element. The limit element resists rotation between the inner shaft member (40) and the tubular outer sheath (50).

Regarding claim 11, Figure 1, Abstract and col. 2, lines 23-37 of Gunderson shows a medical device delivery system having all the limitation as recited in the above listed claim, including: an inner shaft (40); an outer sheath (50); a medical device (labeled in col. 4, lines 29-35) is within the outer sheath (50), wherein a handle (20, 30) is coupled with the inner shaft (40) and the outer sheath (50); and wherein the handle has a first and second independently moveable actuator (24, 34, col. 4, lines 52-67 and col. 5, lines 1-16) for adjusting the longitudinal positions

Application/Control Number: 09/975,873

Art Unit: 3731

of the inner shaft and the outer sheath; and wherein a limit element (56) limits the extent of travel for the second actuator (34). The limit element resists rotation between the inner shaft member (40) and the tubular outer sheath (50).

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

Vn V)
October 9, 2003

MICHAEL J. MILANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700